

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,745	06/18/2001	Christopher J. Ong	10000.2001	1742
75	590 07/11/2002			
SPECKMAN LAW GROUP Suite 100 1501 Western Avenue			EXAMINER	
			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
Seattle, WA 98101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/883745					
- Canmary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	Frank Lu	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minim	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
☐ This action is FINAL.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
(Claim(s) /- 30		in/ore pending in the analysis				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from application.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed					
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected					
☐ Claim(s)						
Claim(s) / - 30	is/are objected to.					
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 						
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). 						
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)		•				
,						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	erview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	L. Not	tice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
	<i>,</i> ,	ner Detailed Action				
Office Action Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for selecting a clone of an ES cell, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
 - II. Claims 10-18, drawn to a method for comparing gene expression between test cells, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
 - III. Claims 19-25, drawn to a system for testing expression of a gene in a test cell, classified in class 435, subclasses 7.21 and 287.2.
 - IV. Claims 26-30, drawn to an exon trap vector, classified in class 435, subclass320.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group II such as step (g) in claim 10 is not required for Group I.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,745

Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

Groups I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as the method in Group II.

Groups I and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to a method and an unrelated product. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group IV such as a vector in claim 26 is not required for Group I.

Groups II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus such as the method in Group I.

Groups II and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to a method and an unrelated product. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group IV such as a vector in claim 26 is not required for Group II.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,745 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

Groups III and IV are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to a method and an unrelated product. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group IV such as a vector in claim 26 is not required for Group III.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) a selectable marker (claim 27)
- (2) supF (claim 28)
- (3) a recombination site (claims 29 and 30)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1-26.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 09/883,745

Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,745 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu

July 9, 2002